PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

MALLON, Joseph, J. KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 08 November 2007 (08.11.200	07)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Applicant's or agent's file reference NEREUS79VPC3		IMPORTANT NOTICE	
International application No. PCT/US2006/016104	International filing da 27 April 200	1 ate (day/month/year) 06 (27.04.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29 April 2005 (29.04.2005)
Applicant	NEREUS PHARMAC	EUTICALS, INC. et a	1

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Beate Giffo-Schmitt

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt03.pct@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference NEREUS79VPC3	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2006/016104	International filing date (day/month/year) 27 April 2006 (27.04.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29 April 2005 (29.04.2005)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant NEREUS PHARMACEUTICALS, I	NC.		

1.	This international preliminary re International Searching Authority	port on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the y under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary r	nce to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference eport on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications a	elating to the following items:
	Box No. 1	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority

	Date of issuance of this report 30 October 2007 (30.10.2007)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Beate Giffo-Schmitt
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt03.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/US2006/016104 29.04.2005 27.04.2006 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. A61K31/407 A61P35/00 Applicant NEREUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ☑ Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. Ⅱ Priority ☑ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Date of completion of Authorized Officer this opinion European Patent Office see form Steendijk, Martin D-80298 Munich PCT/ISA/210 Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8460

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2006/016104

B	ox N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1. W	√ith re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
×] th	international application in the language in which it was filed
	la: pu	ranslation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the rposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2. W	/ith re ecess	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and early to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	. form	at of material:
		on paper
		in electronic form
C.	time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in electronic form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. 🗆	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4. A	dditio	nal comments:
В	ox N	o. II Priority
1. 🗆	do rea	e validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority es not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where quired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the sumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
2. 🛭	ha	is opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim is been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international grate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. A	dditio	nal observations, if necessary:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2006/016104

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
The	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non rious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of	
	the entire international application	
	claims Nos. 1-29	
bec	cause:	
Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-29 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):	
	see separate sheet	
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :	
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.	
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:	
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.	
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.	
	□ pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 <i>ter</i> .1(a) or (b).	
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.	
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	
	See Supplemental Box for further details	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-29

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-29

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and/or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

1) The present application relates to the use of heterobicyclic compounds of formula VI-A or VI in treatment of rectal cancer.

2) Cited documents

D1: WO 2004/071382 A

D2: WO 96/32105 A

D3: US 2005/288352 A1 (2005-12-29)

D4: WO 2006/028525 A(2006-03-16)

D5: J.ORG.CHEM., vol. 70, no. 16, (2005-07-01), pages 6196-6203

D6: WO 2006/060609 A (2006-06-08)

Documents D3-D5 were published after the priority claimed for the present application and are herein only considered as prior art in as far as the application is not priority entitled.

Document D6 was published after the filing of the present application and is herein not considered as prior art. Document D6 results from the priority application.

3) Priority

The compounds of formula VI-A are not described in the priority document. Moreover, compounds of formula VI-1B and VI-IC as defined in the present application had not been described in the priority document with similar stereochemistry and can therefore not be regarded as specifically disclosed in the priority document. Finally, whereas the priority document may be regarded to describe compounds of formula VI, VI-1 and VI-1A as presently defined for treatment of rectal cancer, these documents do not appear to specifically describe the use of these agents to induce apoptosis of a cancer cell wherein the cancer cell is rectal cancer.

In as far as the claimed subject-matter is not specifically disclosed in the priority document, the priority cannot be recognized.

3) Novelty

Document D1 describes various salinosporamides as proteasome inhibitors useful in the treatment of a variety of disorders, including colon cancer (see pages 19-20). This document does not disclose the alternatively fused compounds presently defined and does not specifically describe treatment of rectal cancer.

Document D2 describes lactacystin analogues useful as proteasome inhibitors; the compounds of D2 lack the substitution "R3" in the compounds presently defined.

Documents D3 and D4 already describe compounds of formula VI, VI-1 and VI-1A and specific stereoisomers of formula VI-1B and VI-C as useful in treatment of i.a. colorectal carcinoma. These documents do not specifically describe treatment of rectal cancer, which may be considered a specific subgroup within colorectal cancer.

Document D5 describes activity of a compound of formula VI/VI-1 as active against colon cancer cells; the document does not describe treatment of rectal cancer.

4) Inventive step

In as far as the claimed subject-matter is priority entitled, document D1 may be considered as closest prior art.

The alteratively fused agents as presently defined would however seem obvious, active derivatives from the known salinosporamides of D1 in the light of D3, as this document D3 describes for the structurally and functionally closely related lactacystins the possibility of similar, alterative fusion (see meaning of Z2/R1/R2 on i.a. page 2). The selection of rectal cancer would in this context appear an obvious selection amongst types of susceptible cancer, especially in the light of colon cancer as mentioned susceptible cancer.

In as far as the priority is not valid, documents D3-D5 represent additional prior art describing activity of compounds of formula VI, VI-1 and VI-1A and specific stereoisomers of formula VI-1B and VI-C as useful in treatment of cancer such as colorectal carcinoma. The selection of treatment of rectal cancer would in the light of D3-D5 seem an obvious selection amongst types of susceptible cancer. Moreover, the further modification implied by the definition of compounds by formula VI-A (additional substitution by R1) would seem obvious by analogy with the general formula for the alternatively fused salinosporamides known to be similarly active (D3/D4, formula I).

In this context it is further observed that the application appears to provide no specific support for particular activity of compounds of formula VI-A nor particular

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2006/016104

activity against rectal cancer.

- 5) Further observations
- 5.1 The compounds of formula VI-1A/B/C of claim 7 do not appear covered by the independent claim 1 relating to compounds of formula VI-A (in which R1 is not hydrogen) alternatively fused salinosporamides of dependent claims 6, 17, 28, 46 and 64 would not appear to fall under the respective independent claims.
- 5.2 Claims 1-29 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).
- 5.3 The claims relate to heterobicyclic compounds of formula VI-A or VI, whereas the description refers the invention as pertaining to alternatively fused salinosporinamides as well.